I think three of them are relatively noncontroversial and one of them may have some disagreement, will have some disagreement. So why don't we start with the difficult one first and I will give you briefly what it does and what the arguments on both sides are and then I think we will call on, maybe, Senator Carsten to explain the arguments in favor of the rule and I am sure Senator Mills, who is also on the committee, will explain the arguments on the other side. Okay, the first one, page 432. Okay, let's go to the second one on page 432. That is the one that we want to get resolved and that is Rule 1. "Officers and employees present decide order of speaking", we are talking about section 12. Here is the situation. When some member of the Legislature makes a challenge to the Chair, to a ruling of the Chair, the question then becomes for us to decide here today whether you want to take 25 votes to sustain the Chair or 25 votes to overrule the Chair. Example, Senator Luedtke is sitting up there. He is presiding. He makes a ruling on a particular issue and a member of the Legislature, let's say, Senator Reutzel says, Mr. Chairman or Mr. President, I think you are wrong on that and I challenge the ruling of the Chair. So should the Chair require 25 votes to sustain him. Should the burden be upon him to raise the 25 votes or should the burden be upon Senator Reutzel, a member of the Legislature. The Rules Committee decided to send out and presume that the member of the Legislature is correct. In other words, the presiding officer is not a member of the Legislature, as such. He is not one of the Senators. His whole purpose up there is merely to enforce and administer the rules and supposedly render decisions strictly on that. The argument on the other side is that if he is going to enforce and administer rules he needs the power of that strength. Now without going into a lot of discussion and debate on this particular matter, I am sure every member of the Legislature can understand the implications of the Chair having the power of 25 votes or the legislative member. As I say, the Rules Committee decided to send the rules change out and make the Chair have the burden of raising the 25 votes. He wants to take up the noncontroversial. think we can get this through quick. So that is the rule and Senator Carsten may want to speak on this.

CLERK: Mr. President, this is Rule 1, section 12, right? SPEAKER LUEDTKE: Rule 1, section 12.

CLERK: Then Senator Mills offers the following amendment to the DeCamp rule change. Rule 1, section 12, strike "shall the Chair be sustained" and insert "shall the Chair be overruled".

 $\mbox{\tt SPEAKER}$   $\mbox{\tt LUEDTKE:}$  So we take up the Mills amendment to the DeCamp rules proposal. Senator Mills.

SENATOR MILLS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, the Rules Committee did meet and I think it is important that the body does act upon something here for clarification. Two years ago, as many of you remember, our presiding officer stated it one way, "Shall the Chair be sustained.", later changing it to "Shall the Chair be overruled." Senator DeCamp did explain this. However,